COMES NOW, Plaintiff MEGAN MALOUIN, an Individual by and through his attorneys of record, the Law Offices of Leonard H. Burgess, Esq., by Leonard H. Burgess, Esq. and allege and complain as follows:

27 ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

COMPLAINT - 1

### PRELIMINATRY STATEMENT

1. Plaintiff brings this action pursuant to 15 U.S.C. Section 1692 et. seq., the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (the "FDCPA")

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 2. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. Section 1692k(d).
- 3. Venue is proper in this District because the acts, transactions, and occurrences giving rise to this cause of action occurred here, the Plaintiff resides here, and Defendant, Collectcorp Corporation ("Defendant") transacts business here.

#### **PARTIES**

- 4. Plaintiff, Megan Malouin ("Plaintiff") is a natural person residing in San Diego County.
- 5. Defendant, Collectcorp Corporation, is a corporation regularly engaged in the business of collecting debts and does business in the City of San Diego.

# **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 1. Beginning on or about February 29, 2008, Plaintiff began receiving telephone calls from Defendant demanding payment of an alleged debt owed to American Express, (the "Alleged Debt").
- 2. An employee of Defendant explained that American Express demanded Plaintiff to pay her alleged debt of approximately \$22,000 within two payments over a two-month period.
- 3. Plaintiff explained that this was not possible due to Plaintiff's financial situation, to which Defendant replied that American Express would only negotiate to spread out the payments to four months, with payments being made twice a month.

Filed 08/<u>0</u>8/2008

6

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

- 4. Plaintiff was very startled and frightened by this statement, but Plaintiff stated that she would try her hardest to make these payments. Plaintiff borrowed money from her grandmother and made a demanded down payment of \$7,694.08, but was not able to make the second payment in full.
- 5. Sometime after this near the end of March, 2008, without permission of Plaintiff, Defendant contacted Plaintiff's father at his home residence and left a message stating that Defendant was trying to get a hold of Plaintiff. When Plaintiff's father called Defendant back, Defendant stated that they were a collection company contacted by America Express and were trying to get a hold of Plaintiff regarding Plaintiff's debt.
- 6. Plaintiff contacted American Express and spoke to a customer service representative named Kim, who told Plaintiff there was no required payment schedule for the settling of Plaintiff's debt and that any such assertion was arbitrarily set by Defendants.
- 7. Plaintiff then called Defendant back and spoke with Mr. Malorey, who told Defendant that the sales representative at American Express was wrong, and that if Plaintiff did not pay then a lawsuit would be filed immediately against her.
- 8. On May 6, 2008, Plaintiff spoke with Mr. Malorey again. Mr. Malorey said that if Defendant did not reinstate her payment of approximately \$2200 from the prior month, along with a substantial payment of at least one-half of the overall amount due by noon the next day, a lawsuit would be filed against Plaintiff the next day. Defendant also stated that American Express had looked at Plaintiff's assets and liabilities and determined that Plaintiff had more money than she was revealing to Defendant. Plaintiff stated that she could not pay this amount by noon the next day, and Mr. Malorey responded that there would be no further conversations, specifically that Defendant would not call back and that

Plaintiff should not call them, as a lawsuit was going to be filed the next day.

- 9. On May 13, 2008, Mario Santos, an employee of Defendant, called and discussed a payment plan with Plaintiff. Plaintiff mentioned her conversation with Mr. Mallory, specifically the part regarding the necessary payment of one-half of the overall amount to avoid an immediate lawsuit. Mr. Santos responded that Defendant would like one-half of the overall debt amount but if that was not possible would be willing to accept less. Mrs. Malouin asked if \$500 per month would be appropriate and Mr. Santos remarked that it would not. This conversation is a clear contradiction from the conversation Mrs. Malouin had with Mr. Mallory. Additionally, during this conversation, Mr. Santos represented that he was from American Express, which is another misleading statement. When Plaintiff pointed this out, Mr. Santos acknowledged that he did not in fact work for American Express.
- 10. Since this time Plaintiff has received yet another call on May 14, 2008, at her house and a call on May 15, 2008, on her cell phone.

# VIOLATION OF THE FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. Section 1692 et. Seq.

- This is an action against Defendant for violation of the FDCPA 15 U.S.
   Section 1692 et. seq.
- 12. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates paragraphs one (1) through ten (10) as if fully set forth herein.
- 13. The Alleged Debt is a "debt" defined by 15 U.S.C. Section 1692 a (5) in that some or all of the debt is an obligation incurred by Plaintiff arising out of a transaction in which the money, property, insurance, or services which are subject of the transaction were primarily used for personal, family, or household purposes.

Filed 08/<u>0</u>8/2008

4

8

instrumentalities of interest commerce or the mails. The principal business of Defendant is the collection of debts and Defendant regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due another. 15. On numerous occasions, in connection with the collection of debts, Defendant

14. Defendant, in the conduct of business, uses one or more

- has used false, deceptive, or misleading representations or means, in violation of Section 807 of the FDCP Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e, including but not limited to, the following:
  - a) Defendant has threatened to take an action that cannot legally be taken or that Defendant has not intended to take, in violation of Section 807(5) of the FDCP Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(5);
  - b) Defendant has used false representations or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect a debt or to obtain information concerning a consumer, in violation of Section 807(10) of the FDCP Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10).
  - c) Defendant has used a name other than the true name of the debt collector's business, company, or organization, in violation of Section 807(14) of the FDCP Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(14).
- 16. As a result of Defendant's violation of the FDCPA, Plaintiff has been damaged, including without limitation through mental anguish, despair, frustration, embarrassment, nervousness, and loss of the capacity for the enjoyment of life and is entitled to.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays as follows:

1. For actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. Section 1692k(a)(1), For statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. Section 1692k(a)(2)(A),

For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. Section

1692k(a)(3).

2.

3.

Dated: August 6, 2008

Respectfully submitted)

LEONARD H. BURGES Attorney for Plaintiff MEGAN MALOUIN

**S**JS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

# **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided

by local rules of court. This fo the civil docket sheet. (SEE IN	rm, approved by the Judicial NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVE	Conference of the Unite RSE OF THE FORM.)	d States in	September 1974, is i	required fo	r the use of the	e Clerk of	Court for the	purpose of initi	ating
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANT		· <del></del>				
MEGAN MOULIN				COLLECTO						5
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff San Diego (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residen		Listed Defend			PRICT COU	
					LAND CONI	DEMNATION (	CASES, US	E THE LOCA	٠ -	الموء
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name	, Address, and Telephone Numb	er)		Attorneys (If Know	vn) '\O'	B CV	144	45 1	CAB	TY
eonard H. Burgess, Es an Diego, California 92	2101. (619)231-4300		+							
II. BASIS OF JURISD	DICTION (Place an "X" i	n One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OI		CIPAL PA	RTIES(			intiff
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff				For Diversity Cases On	PTF D		orated <i>or</i> Pri	incipal Place	for Defendant) PTF DE	2 <b>F</b> 4
<ul><li>2 U.S. Government Defendant</li></ul>	☐ 4 Diversity  (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citize	n of Another State	□ 2 · (	D 2 Incorpo		rincipal Place Another State	050	5
	•	,		n or Subject of a eign Country	<b>3</b> 6	3 Foreign	Nation		<b>0</b> 6 <b>0</b>	6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)										
CONTRACT	<b>T0</b> 1	ETS A. A. A. C. A.	FO	RFEITURE/PENALT	TYGG SEE EI	BANKRUPT	CY F	OTH	R STATUTES:	STACE.
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY  ☐ 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJURY  362 Personal Injury -		O Agriculture O Other Food & Drug		2 Appeal 28 US	C 158		Reapportionment	
☐ 130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Med. Malpractice		5 Drug Related Seizure		3 Withdrawal 28 USC 157		<ul> <li>410 Antit</li> <li>430 Bank</li> </ul>	rust s and Banking	
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability  320 Assault, Libel &	☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	T 63	of Property 21 USC 88 O Liquor Laws		OPERTYRIC	Torre vissir	☐ 450 Comi ☐ 460 Depo		
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander	☐ 368 Asbestos Persona		0 R.R. & Truck		Copyrights	- Paragona		eteer Influenced a	nd
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	330 Federal Employers' Liability	Injury Product Liability		0 Airline Regs. 0 Occupational		) Patent ) Trademark			pt Organizations umer Credit	
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	PERSONAL PROPER		Safety/Health	D 841	) I rademark		490 Cable		
(Excl. Veterans)  ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	370 Other Fraud		O Other	200010010000000000000000000000000000000		NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.	_	tive Service	,
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal		LABOR  D Fair Labor Standards		CIAL SECUR HIA (1395ff)	11 Y 200 8 15 1 15 1	□ 850 Secui Exch	rities/Commoditie ange	S/
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits  ☐ 190 Other Contract	355 Motor Vehicle	Property Damage	<b>-</b>	Act		2 Black Lung (9		□ 875 Custo	mer Challenge	
<u>~</u> .	Product Liability  360 Other Personal	☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability		Labor/Mgmt. Relation     Labor/Mgmt.Reportin		3 DIWC/DIWW 4 SSID Title X\			SC 3410 Statutory Action	s
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury  CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION	10 S O 74	& Disclosure Act		RSI (405(g))	OFFICE AND	4 -	cultural Acts	
210 Land Condemnation	☐ 441 Voting	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate		O Railway Labor Act O Other Labor Litigation		DERAL TAX Taxes (U.S. P		4	omic Stabilization ronmental Matters	
<ul><li>220 Foreclosure</li><li>230 Rent Lease &amp; Ejectment</li></ul>	442 Employment	Sentence		1 Empl. Ret. Inc.		or Defendant)			y Allocation Act	
240 Torts to Land	<ul> <li>443 Housing/ Accommodations</li> </ul>	Habeas Corpus:  530 General		Security Act	D 871	I IRS—Third Pa 26 USC 7609	arty	Act	lom of Informatio	n
	444 Welfare	535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION::				□ 900Appea	d of Fee Determin	nation
230 An Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	<ul><li>540 Mandamus &amp; Oth</li><li>550 Civil Rights</li></ul>		2 Naturalization Applica 3 Habeas Corpus -	ation			Unde to Jus	r Equal Access	
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	☐ 555 Prison Condition		Alien Detainee					titutionality of	
	440 Other Civil Rights		L) 46.	5 Other Immigration Actions				State	Statutes	
🕅 1 Original 🗍 2 Re		Remanded from  Appellate Court		ened an	ransferred f	ict 🗀 O	Multidistr Litigation		Appeal to Dis Judge from Magistrate	trict
-				(S)	pecify)				Judgment	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON Brief description of ca	tute under which you ar ION 1962 et seq.		• •						—
VII DECHECTED IN		Fair Debt Collect				6115611				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	☐ CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS ACTION 23	l Di	EMAND \$			YES only EMAND:	_	in complaint:  No	
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DC	OCKET NUM	BER			
8-7-08	Ý	SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	1		, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	/		· ·				
RECEIPT # 153808 A	MOUNT 4350	APPLYING IFP		JUDG	6E		MAG. JUI	OGE		
<.∧.(	1 8/08/08									•

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO DIVISION

# 153808 - TC \* \* C O P Y \* \* August 08, 2008 10:40:43

#### Civ Fil Non-Pris

USAO #.: 08CV1445

Judge..: NAPOLEON A JONES, JR

Amount.:

\$350.00 CK

Check#.: BC301

Total-> \$350.00

FROM: MEGAN MOULIN

VS

COLLECTCORP CORPORATION